

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 1170034 DATE: <u>11/9/11</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:50</u>	DEPART: <u>12:31</u>			
FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA ROCK INDUSTR	IES-OVIEDO				
FACILITY LOCATION: 466 AULIN RD					
OVIEDO 32765					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: KEN RUEHLEN Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/23/2008 / 11/ (effective date) (end d	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile: 23/2013	(904)380-0130 (407)298-1900 (407)947-8155			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Mr. Mike (<u>Crowe</u>	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
Brief Notes: Facility has not run in the past year 2. Is the Authorized Representative still KATHIE C If no, who is?:					
If different, did the facility provide an administra 3. Is the facility contact still KEN RUEHLEN? If no, who is?:	tive update within 30 days?				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at le					

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-2silo(cement&flyash)weighhop'r,loadoutw/cent.bhous subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each q	
Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each q	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncon emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the stock plant of paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	he following: X Yes	□ No□ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	of \(\times \text{ Yes}	□ No□ No□ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No

Facility Section (continued)

CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check v on for each qu	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propagation of the second secon	<u>oane/yr</u> < 1.00 ne/yr	0?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consurfor each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption X Yes	☐ No
<u>G</u> l	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check on for each quantum for each quan	•
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its aligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	X Yes	☐ No
3.	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check ☑ only one		
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing	g of both stationary and relocatable box for each question) plants? (If only stationary, skip the following question 2.)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cersoil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c	Yes No No below.)	
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Depare-mail, fax, or written communication at least one bust b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation 	siness day prior to changing location? Yes No	
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than a c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation	five business days following a relocation? Yes No on Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a	separate air construction or air operation permit,	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emis a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-re- If YES, what was the purpose?		
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate he co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes No	
ii 125, were any periods more than 6 months in de	itation:	
CHANGES	(check ☑ only one	
Administrative Changes:	box for each question)	
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone n associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar m If YES, did the facility provide written notification within New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownersh 	relocation of the facility or any emissions units or hinor administrative change at the facility? Yes No n 30 days of the change? Yes No	
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without rep c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment the d. A change in ownership?	lacement? Yes No No nat is substantially different? Yes No	
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new 30 days prior to the change?		
Wanda Parker-Garvin	11/9/11	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	

COMMENTS: Wanda Parker-Garvin made contact with Mr. Mike Crowe who was repairing the entrance gate at the referenced facility. Mr. Crowe stated the facility had not operated in the last year and he was only on-site to repair the entrance gate. Mr. Crowe called Mr. Ken Ruelen, Area Manager for Florida Rock Industries. Ms. Parker-Garvin spoke with Mr. Ruelen who confirmed the facility's shut-down status. Mr. Ruelen stated the facility was inspected weekly. Mr. Ruelen instructed Mr. Crowe to accompany Ms. Parker-Garvin on a walk-through of the facility and inspection records review. The facility was not in operation and appeared to have been shut-down for an extended period. Ms. Parker-Garvin reviewed the weekly inspection logs, past VE reports and the P2 Spill Prevention Plan. Mr. Ruelen stated a VE would be conducted upon starting the facility up. Ms. Parker-Garvin

observed a large stock pile of soil/asphalt located adjacent to the facility. Mr. Crowe stated the stock pile was not on facility's property and not a part of Florida Rock activities. Please see attached photo log.

rec'd 12/28/11